# **Exhibit E**

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 1 March 26, 2008

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

FINISAR CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,

Case No.

Plaintiff,

5:07-CV-04052

vs.

-JF (PVT)

U.S. BANK TRUST NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, a national banking association, not in its individual capacity, but solely in its capacity as Indenture Trustee on behalf of all Holders of Finisar Corporation's 5 1/4% Convertible Subordinated Notes due 2008, 2 1/2% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes due 2010, and 2 1/2% Convertible Subordinated Notes due 2010, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

30(B)(6) DEPOSITION OF DIANA JACOBS March 26, 2008 Seattle, Washington

Reported by: Connie Recob, CCR, RMR, CRR, CLR CCR No. 2631 Job No. Los Angeles 927362/Seattle 79041

CERTIFIED

Esquire Deposition Services Phone: (323) 938-2461 6222 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 204 1-800-640-2461 Los Angeles, CA 90048 Fax: (323) 931-3016

·		
1	Q.	And what policy do you believe was being served by U.S. Bank
2		in not providing copies of reports under Section 7.14 to
3		Finisar that it had provided to holders?
4	A.	Repeat the question.
5	Q.	Yes. What policy, if any, do you believe was being supported
6		or implemented or furthered by U.S. Bank not providing to
7		Finisar a copy of the reports that U.S. Bank contended it was
8		providing to the holders under Section 7.14 of the
9		indentures?
LO		MR. WAHL: Object to the form.
L1		THE WITNESS: Yeah, I wouldn't say that
L2		our policy is not to provide it to the company, but the
L3		policy is that we have complied with providing information to
L <b>4</b>		the holders, any material information. And everything that
L5 <sub>,</sub>		we provided to the holders, the exact information was
16		previously provided to Finisar first. So there isn't any
17		information we've provided to the holders that Finisar wasn't
18		provided with.
19	Q.	(BY MR. BRENNAN) Other than the reports itself?
20	A.	Right, which essentially said the same thing.
21		MR. WAHL: Off the record.
22		(Recess 11:07-11:13.)
23		MR. BRENNAN: I'd ask the reporter to

24

25

mark as our next exhibit in order, which will be No. 3, a

document from U.S. Bank entitled "Notice To Holders of

# CONFIDENTIAL

Page 88 March 26, 2008

1		Finisar Corporation 5-1/4 Percent Convertible Subordinated
2		Notes Due 2008." This document bears Bates No. USB FIN
3		00626. And I'll represent this is one of the documents that
4		was produced by U.S. Bank in response to Finisar's discovery
5		request.
6		(Exhibit No. 3 marked
7		for identification.)
8		
9		EXAMINATION (Continuing)
10		BY MR. BRENNAN:
11	Q.	Ms. Jacobs, do you recognize what's been marked as Exhibit 3?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	Can you tell me what it is?
14	A.	It's a notice to the holders.
15	Q.	And is this one of the notices to holders that U.S. Bank
16		thinks under its policy is one of the notices that comports
17	i i	to Section 7.14 of the indentures?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	Was a copy of this document provided to Finisar prior to
20		Finisar making formal request of it through discovery?
21	A.	It may it may not, because the purpose of this notice was
22		to tell them that Finisar had commenced an action against us,
23		against the trustee.
24	Q.	So would you agree with me, then, that U.S. Bank had not
25		provided to Finisar a document that contained all the same

Г	
	information that's in Exhibit 3, to Finisar?
A	. Right. We obviously wouldn't tell Finisar something that
	they already knew because they took the action.
Q.	. So do you believe that if someone already knows something
	a party to the indenture already knows something, that the
	other party need not provide the information?
	MR. WAHL: Object to the form.
	THE WITNESS: That it repeat the
	question, please.
Q	. (BY MR. BRENNAN) Are you suggesting that under the
	indentures, if one party to the indentures already knows
	something that the other party, despite the language of the
	indenture, need not provide that information?
Α	. No. I was just merely stating why we might not have sent
	Finisar a notice telling them about actions that they had
	taken.
	MR. BRENNAN: We'll have marked as
	Exhibit 4 a document entitled "Notice To Holders of Finisar
	Corporation 2-1/2 Percent Convertible Senior Subordinated
	Notes Due 2010."
	(Exhibit No. 4 marked
	for identification.)
Q	. (BY MR. BRENNAN) Have you previously seen the original or a
	copy of Exhibit 4?
A	. Yes.

1

### CONFIDENTIAL

Page 90 March 26, 2008

- Q. Did you prepare Exhibit 4?
- 2 A. It was prepared by counsel.
- 3 Q. Did you prepare Exhibit 3?
- 4 A. Exhibit 3 was the one we just looked at?
- 5 Q. Yes.
- A. That was also prepared by counsel.
- Q. It appears that Exhibit 3 and 4 are virtually identical but for the different notes and indentures identified. Is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. That appears to be the case.
- Q. And was a similar notice also prepared with respect to the 2-1/2 percent note?
- 13 A. I would assume so.
- 14 Q. Now, if you -- I guess we can pick either Exhibit 3 or 4.
- Let's use Exhibit 3. If you look at the last

  paragraph, it states, quote, Holders with questions regarding

  this notice should direct them in writing to Diana Jacobs,

  Vice President, U.S. Bank National Association. And it gives
- 19 your address, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. Did you receive any questions or inquiries from any holders after the issuance of these notices, Exhibits 3 and 4?
- A. I'm trying to remember the time. I received an inquiry from a holder regarding one of our notices. I'm not sure if it

25 was this particular notice.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

19

#### CONFIDENTIAL

Page 111 March 26, 2008

EXAMINATION (Continuing)

2 BY MR. BRENNAN:

Q. Ms. Jacobs, I had previously shown you Exhibit Nos. 3 and 4, which are notices to holders from U.S. Bank dated April 24th, 2007, and they pertain to the 5-1/4 and the 2-1/2 senior.

And what I'd like to do is show you another one, which we'll have marked as our next exhibit in order, which appears to be an April 24, 2007, notice with respect to just the regular

(Exhibit No. 10 marked

for identification.)

- Q. (BY MR. BRENNAN) Do you recognize what's been marked as Exhibit 10?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Can you tell me what that is?

2-1/2 percent.

- 16 A. It's a notice to the holders regarding the litigation.
- Q. And to your knowledge, was a copy of Exhibit 10 provided to Finisar Corporation prior to its request for discovery in
- 20 A. Was a copy of this notice provided to Finisar?
- 21 O. Yes.
- 22 A. To my knowledge, it was.

this case?

- Q. And why do you think a copy of that was provided to Finisar prior to Finisar's request?
- 25 A. I'm sorry. Prior to their request for discovery? Then no, I

Esquire Deposition Services Phone: (323) 938-2461

6222 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 204 1-800-640-2461 Los Angeles, CA 90048 Fax: (323) 931-3016

# CONFIDENTIAL

Page 112 March 26, 2008

1		don't think so.
2		MR. BRENNAN: I'll have the reporter mark
3		as Exhibit 11 a document entitled "Notice To Holders of
4		Finisar Corporation 5-1/4 Percent Subordinating Note." It
5		appears to bear a date of January 11th, 2008, and it has
6		production control numbers 001035, 001036.
7		(Exhibit No. 11 marked
8		for identification.)
9	Q.	(BY MR. BRENNAN) Do you recognize Exhibit 11?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	Can you tell me what that is?
12	A.	It's a notice to holders regarding the litigation and the
13		letting the holders know that the company filed their overdue
14		10-Qs and 10-K.
15	Q.	And was a copy of Exhibit 11 provided to Finisar?
16	Α.	This is again prior to discovery request?
17	Q.	Yes.
18	A.	I don't believe so.
19	Q.	And does U.S. Bank consider Exhibit 11 to be a report to
20		holders under Section 7.14 of the indentures?
21		MR. WAHL: Object to the form.
22		THE WITNESS: This would fall under next
23	i 	year because it's 2008, I would say.
24		MR. BRENNAN: We'll have marked as
25		Exhibit 12 a document entitled "Notice To Holders Finisar